Risk Register – Key:

Likelihood Rating

It is unlikely that in many cases the probability of a risk occurring can be calculated in a statistically robust fashion as we do not have the data to do so. However, as an indicator, the likelihood is defined by the following probability of a risk occurring:

Likelihood	Probability
Highly Unlikely	1% to 25% chance in 5 years
Unlikely	26% to 50% chance in 5 years
Likely	51% to 75% chance in 5 years
Highly Likely	76% to 100% chance in 5 years

Risk Proximity

The score for risk proximity supports the Council in focusing on certain risks that may occur soon and ignore risks that will not occur in the near future. This enables risk management to be more efficient.

A number of between 1 and 4, where 1 means the risk is about to occur within the next 3 months and 4 means the risk is not likely to occur within the next year is provided.

Financial Impact

The financial impact to the Council is an important consideration, however this should be viewed alongside the likelihood of the risk occurring and not assumed to be inevitable.

The scoring of the financial impact relates to the cost to the Council if that risk were to occur, however it should not relate to the cost of managing or mitigating the risk.

The financial impact is scored as highly likely it would be prudent for the Council to ensure that it has set aside an adequate financial provision. The financial impact is scored as follows:

Risk Proximity Score	Time scale
1	Occurring within the next 3 months
2	Occurring within the next 6 months
3	Occurring within the next 1 year
4	Unlikely to occur within 1 year

Financial Impact Score	Time scale
£	£1 – £20,000
££	£20,0001 - £200,000
£££	£200,001 - £2,000,000
££££	£2,000,001 plus

Impact Rating
The following table provides the definitions which should be used when determining whether a risk would have a Low, Moderate, Major or Significant impact

	Low (1)	Moderate (2)	Major (3)	Significant (4)
Financial	Less than £20K	£20k or over and less than £200K	£200K or over and less than- £2MK	£2M plus
Service Provision	No effect	Slightly Reduced	Service Suspended Short Term / reduced	Service Suspended Long Term Statutory duties not delivered
Health & Safety	Sticking Plaster / first aider	Broken bones/illness Lost time, accident or occupational ill health	Loss of Life/Major illness – Major injury incl broken limbs/hospital admittance. Major ill health	Major loss of life/Large scale major illness
Morale		Some hostile relationship and minor non cooperation	Industrial action	Mass staff leaving/Unable to attract staff
Reputation	No media attention / minor letters	Adverse Local media Leader	Adverse National publicity	Remembered for years
Govt relations	One off single complaint	Poor Assessment(s)	Service taken over temporarily	Service taken over permanently

Risk Number: 1			Risk Owner: Project Executive					
Risk Title: Project is not financially viable								
Causes	Concequences	Current Co			Risk Score	Risk	Financial	
Causes	Consequences	Current Co	ittois	Likelihood	Impact	Proximity	impact	
Full Business Case gateway does not confirm that project is financially viable. The management fee proposed by the potential operator and/or the cost of construction are not in line with current estimates Significant and unforeseeable change in external financial/macro economic position ("Viable" = that the annualised cost of the project to the Council based on the preferred facility mix is sufficiently close to the income expected to be generated from a management contract in relation to be a sustainable investment).	Project is halted for review of underlying assumptions. Revisions are tested and agreed. Project recommences on revised brief, timetable and cost estimate.		gures for operator management istruction cost inputted to Full ase		Significant (4)	1	££££	
Further actions?			Target Date	Residual Risk Score				
		Feb 2019		Likelihood		·	act	
Decisions requiring approval of full Council	Cabinet Committee will make key decisions in line with project plan. Decisions requiring approval of full Council under the Constitution will be referred accordingly. Regular reporting on progress will be made.			Highly Unlikely (Probability 1% - 25%)		Significant (4)		

Risk Number: 2			Risk Owner: Project Executive					
Risk Title: Absence of financial support from project partners								
		Current Controls		Current Risk Score			Financial impact	
Causes	Consequences			Likelihood	Impact	Risk Proximity		
External grants and partner funding does not materialise or offers withdrawn because Council cannot meet funders' requirements	Project could be rendered nonviable by increasing Council proportion of cost. Review project as in R1.	Continue negotiations with partner organisations and external funders. Signed Partner/Funding agreements in place.			Significant (4)	2	££	
Further actions?		Target Date		Residual Risk S				
				Likel	ihood	Imp	act	
Translation of negotiated arrangement into legal documentation. Continue Financial appraisal of contribution's value to the scheme vs financial contribution.		Feb 2019		Highly Unlikely (Probability 1% - 25%)		Significant (4)		

Risk Number: 3			Risk Owner: Project Executive					
Risk Title: Council unable to recover VAT on construction costs								
Causes Consequences		Current Controls		Current F	Risk Score	Risk Proximity	Financial impact	
				Likelihood	Impact			
Project and governance structure means that Council unable to recover VAT incurred on construction costs.	The project cost would increase significantly and possibly become non-viable or show major overspend if HMRC refuses claims.	appropriate	available VAT advice at stages and before decision eflect VAT advice in negotiations g partners.	Unlikely (Probability 26% - 50%)	Significant (4)	1	££	
Further actions?		Target Date		Residual Risk Score				
				Likelihood		Impact		
Advice reflected in decisions taken, advice	obtained and utilised.	Spring 2019		Highly Unlikely (Probability 1% - 25%)		Major (3)		

Risk Number: 4			Risk Owner: Project Executive				
Risk Title: Stakeholders expectations on pricing and usage not met							
Causes	Consequences Current Cor		ntrole	Current Risk Score		Risk	Financial impact
			TILL OIS	Likelihood	Impact	Proximity	
Pricing and usage strategy necessary to create viable project is not in line with stakeholder expectations. i.e. hire charges for club use, membership levels etc.	Business Case and procurement of operator specification may need to be reviewed if Council wishes to alter pricing and usage strategy.		alogue with main users and early nt with appointed operator		Moderate (2)	2	£
Further actions?		Target Date		Residual Risk Score			
				Likelihood		Imp	act
Dialogue with likely user groups.		Ongoing the	rough construction process	Unlikely (Probability 26% - 50%)		Low (1)	

Risk Number: 5 Risk Owner: Project Executive Risk Title: Project delivery delayed Current Risk Score Financial impact Risk Consequences **Current Controls** Causes Proximity Likelihood Impact

cost consultants.

Delay in programme/ delivery and Potential for project resulting cost implications and or building delay due to a number not delivered to required standards. . of potential factors.

Further actions?

This could also include the delivery of associated mitigation, accommodation or

facilitating works. The quality of the design may not be reflected in the quality of the

The Council's Estates team will help to ensure that works are progressed in accordance with the design and specification.

to oversee and monitor the works

Mace appointed as project managers and

LA architects retained as Technical Advisor

The Council has appointed a Site Supervisor

construction.

Target Date

Residual Risk Score

Major

(3)

££

1

Unlikely

(Probability

26% - 50%)

Likelihood Impact

Risk Number: 6		Risk Owner: Project Executive				
Risk Title: Unexpected costs arise for keep	oing River Park Leisure Centre open					
			Current Risk Score		Financial	

Causes	Consequences	Current Controls	Current F	Risk Score	Risk	Financial impact
Causes	Consequences	Current Controls	Likelihood	Impact	Proximity	
Unexpected costs arise for keeping	Rising financial costs to	Monitor condition of existing facility	Unlikely	Moderate-	3	££
existing River Park Leisure Centre (RPLC)	keep RPLC open and	carefully.	(Probability	Major		
open	running may require		26% - 50%)	(2/3)		
	difficult decisions	Allow some contingency in budget planning				
	between additional	if possible. Identify, approve & monitor				
	capital expenditure and	maintenance costs.				
	facility availability					
	depending on scale.					
	Expenditure on RPLC					
	depletes reserves.					
Further actions?		Target Date		Residual Ri	sk Score	
			Likel	ihood	lm	pact
Keep building condition under review. Will r	emain a risk until RPLC	N/A	Unlikely		Mod	derate
closes. The 2018/19 capital programme incumentation undertake essential capital works required to			(Probability 26% - 50%) (2)		(2)	

Risk Number: 7			Risk Owner: Project Executive					
Risk Title: Legal challenges are raised								
Causes Consequences		Current Controls		Current I	Risk Score	Risk Proximity	Financial impact	
				Likelihood	Impact			
Legal challenges to any aspect of decision making and or procurement.	If legal challenges are successful the project is halted. If unsuccessful - a delay in the development and additional costs to the project which may render it unviable.	mitigated l evidence t	by legal challenges can be by obtaining expert advice and to guide and inform processes. Areness of implications of delay.	Unlikely (Probability 26% - 50%)	Significant (4)	3	33	
Further actions?			Target Date Residual Risk		sk Score			
				Likelihood		Impact		
Continue to obtain expert advice on procure decision making	ment and to inform	N/A		Unlikely (Probability 26% - 50%)		Major (3)		